

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/699,572	10/30/2000	Nikolai Grigoriev	25310-1B	8706		
21123 75	90 01/13/2005		EXAMINER			
	N, LUNDBERG, WOE	SINGH, R	SINGH, RACHNA			
P.O. BOX 2938						
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2176	2176		
			DATE MAN ED OF 112 (200)			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Applicati n N .		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/69	99,572		GRIGORIEV, NIKOLAI				
		Exan	nin r		Art Unit				
			na Singh		2176				
The I Pridfr Repl	MAILING DATE of this communica Y	ntion appears o	n the cover sheet	with the co	rrespondence a	ddress			
THE MAILIN - Extensions of t after SIX (6) M - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply Any reply recei	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, ime may be available under the provisions of ONTHS from the mailing date of this communically specified above is less than thirty (30) or reply is specified above, the maximum stature within the set or extended period for reply will ived by the Office later than three months after than adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In cation. days, a reply within the cory period will apply a light by statute, cause the cause the cory period will apply a light by statute, cause the	no event, however, may e statutory minimum of and will expire SIX (6) N e application to become	y a reply be time thirty (30) days MONTHS from the ABANDONED	will be considered time me mailing date of this (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)⊠ Respo	ensive to communication(s) filed	on <u>26 <i>July 200</i></u>	<u>4</u> .						
2a)⊠ This a	ction is FINAL . 2b)∐ This action	is non-final.						
•—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disp sition of	Claims								
4a) Of	(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the apply the above claim(s) is/are (s) is/are allowed.		n consideration.						
· <u> </u>	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim	(s) is/are objected to.								
8)∐ Claim	(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or electi	on requirement.						
Application Pa	pers	•							
9)∐ The sp	ecification is objected to by the l	Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	ement drawing sheet(s) including that th or declaration is objected to b		·						
Pri rity under 3	35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	wledgment is made of a claim fo b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority do Certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of application from the International	ocuments have ocuments have the priority doo al Bureau (PCT	been received. been received incuments have be Rule 17.2(a)).	n Applicatio en received	n No d in this Nationa	l Stage			
	attached detailed Office action	ior a list of the	cerunea copies r	iot received	1.				
Attachment(s)	erences Cited (PTO-892)		4) \square Intervie	w Summon /	DTO_413\				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			Paper N	No(s)/Mail Dat	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
	isclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Mail Date <u>7/26/04</u> .	O/SB/08)	5) Notice 6		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/699,572 Page 2

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application is responsive to communications: Amendment filed 7/26/04.

2. Claims 1-20 are pending. Claims 1, 8, and 15 are independent claims.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 2 is objected to for the following informalities: "a independent group" should read "an independent group". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rao et al., US 5,883,635, 3/16/99 (filed 11/15/96).

In reference to claim 1, Rao teaches producing a single-image view of a multiimage table using graphical representations of the table data. Rao teaches the following:

-Receiving a table having comprised of rows and columns. See column 1, lines 50-67. The intersection of the row and column is a cell. The information in the table reaching portions beyond a single cell because of the large amount of information. See column 2. The cells of the table arranged in a plurality of rows and columns. See column 7, lines 38-55. Compare to "receiving a tabl having on or more c Ils wh rein ach c Il spans on or m re columns and on or m re rows".

Page 3

Art Unit: 2176

-Representing a table in an n-dimensional array data structure where the positional relationship of data arrange by rows and columns conveys information about the data.

See column 5, lines 60-67 and column 6. Compare to "representing the table as a geometric grid wherein one or more positions within the grid house one or more of the cells."

-Receiving an image display request from a user interaction device. The request including a request for an operation and information identifying the requested operation. The processor receiving the request configured to access the data store in memory and the instruction indicating instructions for the operating system. Displaying the table in a virtual screen or presentation space for a window. See columns 28-29. Compare to "providing a generic table represented by one or more formatting commands operable to provide a rendering of the grid to one or more output media'.

Rao does not state the use of "formatting commands to provide a rendering of the grid"; however, he does teach receiving an image display request in which the user request can comprise of any number of actions the user considers necessary for indicating a valid request and causing an operation to be performed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a "formatting command" as Rao teaches that the user can request certain actions to indicate some sort of operation be performed on the data as would a formatting command. See columns 28-29.

Rao does not teach that each cell is assigned a "synchronization marker" or that the table is configurable; however, Chatterjee does. A user can choose to delete a row

Page 4

Art Unit: 2176

from a database table or modify the structure of the table. See column 22, lines 47-50 and column 28, lines 64-67. Compare to "wherein the size of the generic table is configurable". Chatterjee teaches a version control system in which a database table comprising fields includes a synchronization value in record versions to indicate that two records are synchronized. See column 18, lines 36-51 and figure 2. Chatterjee's synchronization value indicates when the values in records are similar so that if a record is later modified, then two record versions are synchronized by determining whether the synchronization values in the field are the same. Compare to "wherein each cell is assigned a synchronization marker". Chatterjee further teaches allowing a user to make changes to the design and update the database with the changes. See column 2, lines 20-54. Chatterjee's version control subsystem determines when two record versions in two states are synchronized by determining whether the synchronization values in the field are the same. If the synchronization values are the same, then any modifications made to a record are associated with each record that is synchronized. See column 21, lines 1-20. Compare to "when the grid is rendered to one or more output media each cell having a same synchronization marker are processed together as an independent group". It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to enhance Rao's system of producing a single-image view of a multi-image table using graphical representations of table data with Chatterjee's teaches of synchronization among different records because it would help facilitate associations with different records in the database, allow

conflicts between records to be resolved while providing an efficient means to unify records or cells with similar data. See abstract of Chatterjee.

In reference to claim 2, Rao teaches representing a table in an n-dimensional array data structure where the positional relationship of data arrange by rows and columns conveys information about the data. See column 5, lines 60-67 and column 6.

In reference to claim 3, Rao teaches displaying the table in a virtual screen or presentation space for a window or to the area for printing or facsimile transmission. See columns 28-29.

In reference to claims 5-6, Rao teaches representing the table in an ndimensional array data structure which could be a rectangle or two-dimensional array.

In reference to claim 7, Rao does not state the use of "formatting commands to provide a rendering of the grid"; however, he does teach receiving an image display request in which the user request can comprise of any number of actions the user considers necessary for indicating a valid request and causing an operation to be performed.

In reference to claim 8, Rao teaches producing a single-image view of a multiimage table using graphical representations of the table data. Rao teaches the following:

-Receiving a table having comprised of rows and columns. See column 1, lines 50-67. The intersection of the row and column is a cell. The information in the table reaching portions beyond a single cell because of the large amount of information. See column

2. The cells of the table arranged in a plurality of rows and columns. See column 7,

lines 38-55. Compare to "d coupling n or more c lls from a table" and "expressing a dimension associated with each cell in terms of each cell's relative position to each other within the matrix".

- -Representing a table in an n-dimensional array data structure where the positional relationship of data arrange by rows and columns conveys information about the data.

 See column 5, lines 60-67 and column 6. An n-dimensional array is a matrix. Compare to "storing the cells in a matrix."
- -Receiving an image display request from a user interaction device. The request including a request for an operation and information identifying the requested operation. The processor receiving the request configured to access the data store in memory and the instruction indicating instructions for the operating system. Displaying the table in a virtual screen or presentation space for a window. See columns 28-29. Compare to "outputting one ore more formatting commands operable to produce a rendition of the table on a output media from the matrix".

Rao does not state the use of "formatting commands to provide a rendering of the grid"; however, he does teach receiving an image display request in which the user request can comprise of any number of actions the user considers necessary for indicating a valid request and causing an operation to be performed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a "formatting command" as Rao teaches that the user can request certain actions to indicate some sort of operation be performed on the data as would a formatting command. See columns 28-29.

Rao does not teach that each cell is assigned a "synchronization marker" or that the table is configurable; however, Chatterjee does. A user can choose to delete a row from a database table or modify the structure of the table. See column 22, lines 47-50 and column 28, lines 64-67. Chatterjee teaches a version control system in which a database table comprising fields includes a synchronization value in record versions to indicate that two records are synchronized. See column 18, lines 36-51 and figure 2. Chatterjee's synchronization value indicates when the values in records are similar so that if a record is later modified, then two record versions are synchronized by determining whether the synchronization values in the field are the same. Compare to "associating a synchronization marker with each cell". Chatterjee further teaches allowing a user to make changes to the design and update the database with the changes. See column 2, lines 20-54. Chatterjee's version control subsystem determines when two record versions in two states are synchronized by determining whether the synchronization values in the field are the same. If the synchronization values are the same, then any modifications made to a record are associated with each record that is synchronized. See column 21, lines 1-20. Compare to "wherein each of the one or more formatting commands are processed to render the rendition against a same group of cells that have a same synchronization marker". It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to enhance Rao's system of producing a single-image view of a multi-image table using graphical representations of table data with Chatterjee's teaches of synchronization among different records because it would help facilitate associations with different

records in the database, allow conflicts between records to be resolved while providing an efficient means to unify records or cells with similar data. See abstract of Chatterjee.

In reference to claims 9-10 and 14, Rao teaches receiving an image display request from a user interaction device. The request including a request for an operation and information identifying the requested operation. The processor receiving the request configured to access the data store in memory and the instruction indicating instructions for the operating system. Displaying the table in a virtual screen or presentation space for a window. See columns 28-29. Rao further teaches does teach receiving an image display request in which the user request can comprise of any number of actions the user considers necessary for indicating a valid request and causing an operation to be performed (i.e. processing vertically, in parallel).

In reference to claim 11, Rao teaches representing a table in an n-dimensional array data structure where the positional relationship of data arrange by rows and columns conveys information about the data. See column 5, lines 60-67 and column 6.

In reference to claims 12-13, Rao teaches receiving an image display request from a user interaction device. The request including a request for an operation and information identifying the requested operation. The processor receiving the request configured to access the data store in memory and the instruction indicating instructions for the operating system. Displaying the table in a virtual screen or presentation space for a window. See columns 28-29. Rao further teaches does teach receiving an image display request in which the user request can comprise of any number of actions the

user considers necessary for indicating a valid request and causing an operation to be performed, such as configuring the output or adjusting dimensions.

In reference to claim 15, Rao teaches producing a single-image view of a multiimage table using graphical representations of the table data. Rao teaches the following:

- -Receiving a table having comprised of rows and columns. See column 1, lines 50-67. The intersection of the row and column is a cell. The information in the table reaching portions beyond a single cell because of the large amount of information. See column 2. The cells of the table arranged in a plurality of rows and columns. See column 7, lines 38-55.
- -Representing a table in an n-dimensional array data structure where the positional relationship of data arrange by rows and columns conveys information about the data.

 See column 5, lines 60-67 and column 6. Compare to "representing one or more cells of a table"
- -Receiving an image display request from a user interaction device. The request including a request for an operation and information identifying the requested operation. The processor receiving the request configured to access the data store in memory and the instruction indicating instructions for the operating system. Displaying the table in a virtual screen or presentation space for a window. See columns 28-29. Compare to "... with one or more executable commands wherein each command has one or more param ters defining an outputted c II's dim nsions n an output m dia"

Rao teaches that the request including a request for an operation and information identifying the requested operation. The processor receiving the request configured to access the data store in memory and the instruction indicating instructions for the operating system. Displaying the table in a virtual screen or presentation space for a window. See columns 28-29. Rao does not state the use of "formatting commands to provide a rendering of the grid"; however, he does teach receiving an image display request in which the user request can comprise of any number of actions the user considers necessary for indicating a valid request and causing an operation to be performed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a "formatting command" as Rao teaches that the user can request certain actions to indicate some sort of operation be performed on the data as would a formatting command. See columns 28-29.

Rao does not teach that each cell is assigned a "synchronization marker" or that the table is configurable; however, Chatterjee does. A user can choose to delete a row from a database table or modify the structure of the table. See column 22, lines 47-50 and column 28, lines 64-67. Chatterjee teaches a version control system in which a database table comprising fields includes a synchronization value in record versions to indicate that two records are synchronized. See column 18, lines 36-51 and figure 2. Chatterjee's synchronization value indicates when the values in records are similar so that if a record is later modified, then two record versions are synchronized by determining whether the synchronization values in the field are the same. Compare to "associating with ach c II a synchronizati n mark r". Chatterjee further teaches

Page 11

Art Unit: 2176

allowing a user to make changes to the design and update the database with the changes. See column 2, lines 20-54. Chatterjee's version control subsystem determines when two record versions in two states are synchronized by determining whether the synchronization values in the field are the same. If the synchronization values are the same, then any modifications made to a record are associated with each record that is synchronized. See column 21, lines 1-20. Rao teaches receiving an image display request in which the user request can comprise of any number of actions the user considers necessary for indicating a valid request and causing an operation to be performed (i.e. processing vertically, in parallel). Compare to "executing commands in parallel to produce a rendition of the table on the output media, and wherein each command processed in parallel to produce the rendition processes against cells in a same group associated with a same synchronization marker". It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to enhance Rao's system of producing a single-image view of a multi-image table using graphical representations of table data with Chatteriee's teaches of synchronization among different records because it would help facilitate associations with different records in the database, allow conflicts between records to be resolved while providing an efficient means to unify records or cells with similar data. See abstract of Chatteriee.

In reference to claims 4 and 18, Rao does not teach that the table or first format is in XSL. However, XSL data can comprise a table, thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the table be in a XSL

format as XSL was a well-known format for representing style and content of data at the time of the invention.

Claims 16-17 and 19 are rejected under the same rationale used in claims 7, 2, and 3 respectively above.

In reference to claim 20, Rao teaches representing a table in an n-dimensional array data structure where the positional relationship of data arrange by rows and columns conveys information about the data. See column 5, lines 60-67 and column 6. Thus the data structure has different dimensions than the table.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's amendments and remarks with respect to claims 1, 8, and 15 have been considered by Examiner and have been rejected above in view of Rao and Chatterjee. Rao does not teach that each cell is assigned a "synchronization marker" or that the table is configurable (limitations introduced by Applicant's amendments); however, Chatterjee does. Chatterjee, US 6,584,476, teaches the use of a synchronization value in a version control system. A user can choose to delete a row from a database table or modify the structure of the table. Compare to "configurable table". See column 22, lines 47-50 and column 28, lines 64-67. Specifically, Chatterjee teaches a version control system in which a database table comprising fields includes a synchronization value in record versions to indicate that two records are synchronized. See column 18, lines 36-51 and figure 2. Chatterjee's synchronization value indicates when the values in records are similar so that if a record is later modified, then two record versions are synchronized by determining whether the synchronization values in

Application/Control Number: 09/699,572

Art Unit: 2176

the field are the same. Chatterjee further teaches allowing a user to make changes to the design and update the database with the changes. See column 2, lines 20-54. Chatterjee's version control subsystem determines when two record versions in two states are synchronized by determining whether the synchronization values in the field are the same. If the synchronization values are the same, then any modifications made to a record are associated with each record that is synchronized. See column 21, lines 1-20. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to enhance Rao's system of producing a single-image view of a multi-image table using graphical representations of table data with Chatterjee's teaches of synchronization among different records because it would help facilitate associations with different records in the database, allow conflicts between records to be resolved while providing an efficient means to unify records or cells with similar data. See abstract of Chatterjee.

Applicant argues that Rao does not teach rendering the generic format to one media concurrently or in parallel. Rao teaches receiving an image display request in which the user request can comprise of any number of actions the user considers necessary for indicating a valid request and causing an operation to be performed (i.e. processing vertically, in parallel).

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 09/699,572 Page 14

Art Unit: 2176

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachna Singh whose telephone number is 571-272-4099. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on 571-272-4090. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RS 1/05/05

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER